

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION
OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT

(2)

TO : Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

In compliance with the Act of July 19, 1952 (66 Stat. 814; 35 U.S.C. 290) you are hereby advised
that a court action has been filed on the following patent(s) in the U.S. District Court:

DOCKET NO. CA 97-550	DATE FILED 10/03/97	U.S. DISTRICT COURT District of Delaware
PLAINTIFF Cordis Corporation	DEFENDANT Advanced Cardio Sys	
PATENT NO.	DATE OF PATENT	PATENTEE
1 4,739,762 2 5,102,417	04/26/88 04/07/92	Expandable Grafts Partnership Expandable Grafts Partnership

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading	
PATENT NO.	DATE OF PATENT	PATENTEE
1 5,902,332		
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or
judgment issued:

DECISION/JUDGMENT
Case closed. See D.I. Nos. 1485 and 1486 (attached.)

CLERK
PETER T. DALLEO, CLERK

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK ^ ^

Nicole Fasano

DATE

10/2/08

**REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION
OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT**

TO : Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

In compliance with the Act of July 19, 1952 (66 Stat. 814; 35 U.S.C. 290) you are hereby advised
that a court action has been filed on the following patent(s) in the U.S. District Court:

DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CA 97-550	10/03/97	District of Delaware
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	
Cordis Corporation	Advanced Cardio Sys	
PATENT NO.	DATE OF PATENT	PATENTEE
1 4,739,762	04/26/88	Expandable Grafts Partnership
2 5,102,417	04/07/92	Expandable Grafts Partnership

In the above-entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY
	<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading
PATENT NO.	DATE OF PATENT
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	

In the above-entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or
judgment issued:

DECISION/JUDGMENT

CLERK
PETER T. DALLEO, CLERK

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

DATE
10/06/97

Case 1:97-cv-00550-SLR Document 1485 Filed 09/30/2008 Page 1 of 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CORDIS CORPORATION,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 97-550-SLR
v.) (Consolidated)
MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.)
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,)
and SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS, INC.,)
Defendants.)

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

At Wilmington, Delaware, this 30 day of September 2008, pursuant to the jury verdict of December 21, 2000; this Court's Final Judgment entered on March 31, 2005; the Opinion of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit dated January 7, 2008; and this Court's Opinion dated September 15, 2008:

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of plaintiff Cordis Corporation ("Cordis") and against defendant Medtronic Vascular, Inc. ("Medtronic").

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Cordis and against Medtronic in the amount of \$521,064,414 (comprised of the jury's verdict of \$271,075,085, together with prejudgment interest through September 30, 2008 in the amount of \$249,989,330). Post-judgment interest shall accrue at the statutory rate, to be computed daily and compounded annually, from the date judgment is entered and until satisfied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1) and Del. L.R. 54.1, Cordis is the prevailing party.

Dated: September 30, 2008

Jane F. Robner
United States District Judge

Nicole Fasano
(By) Deputy Clerk

(1486)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CORDIS CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.
BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,
and SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 97-550-SLR
(Consolidated)

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION,
and SCIMED LIFE SYSTEMS, INC.

Plaintiffs,

v.

ETHICON, INC.,
CORDIS CORPORATION, and
JOHNSON & JOHNSON
INTERVENTIONAL SYSTEMS CO.

Defendants.

Case No. 98-19-SLR

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

Pursuant to the Notice of Withdrawal of Claims Based on U.S. Patent No. 5,102,417, dated March 22, 2000 (D.I. 662); the jury verdicts, dated December 11 and 15, 2000 (D.I. 182; D.I. 189) (in C.A. 98-197-SLR); the Court's Memorandum Opinion and Order, dated March 28, 2002 (D.I. 1127; D.I. 1128); the Court's Memorandum Order, dated May 15, 2002 (D.I. 1153); the jury verdict, dated March 24, 2005 (D.I. 1366); the Court's judgment entered on March 31, 2005 (D.I. 1375); the Court's Memorandum Opinions and Orders, dated March 27, 2006 (D.I. 1430; D.I. 1431; D.I. 1435; D.I. 1436); the Court's judgment entered on March 27, 2006 (D.I. 1432); the Opinion and Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, entered on April 22, 2008 (D.I. 1453); the Order of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, entered on May 7, 2008 (D.I. 1454); and the Court's Memorandum Opinion and Order, dated September 15, 2008 (D.I. 1473; D.I. 1474);

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Cordis Corporation, Ethicon Inc. and Johnson & Johnson Interventional Systems Co. (collectively "Cordis") and against Boston Scientific Corporation and Boston Scientific Scimed, Inc. (formerly Scimed Life Systems, Inc.) (collectively "BSC") with respect to the validity and the infringement of claims 23 and 44 of United States Patent No. 4,739,762 (the "'762 patent");

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of BSC and against Cordis with respect to the validity and the infringement of claim 22 of United States Patent No. 5,902,332 (the "'332 patent");

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Cordis and against BSC with respect to the enforceability of the '762 patent and the '332 patent;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that Cordis' complaint alleging infringement by BSC of claims 51, 52 and 54 of the '762 patent, claims 17, 18, 23 and 26 of U.S. Patent No. 5,102,417, and claims 22 and 24 of the '332 patent, be dismissed with prejudice;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that judgment be and is hereby entered in favor of Cordis and against BSC in the amount of \$702,811,854 (comprised of the jury's verdict of \$324,403,250, together with damages for post-verdict sales in the amount of \$82,267,737, and prejudgment interest through September 30, 2008 in the amount of \$296,140,867). Post-judgment interest shall accrue at the statutory rate set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1961, to be computed daily and compounded annually, from the date judgment is entered and until satisfied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(1) and Del. L.R. 54.1, BSC shall pay Cordis its costs incurred with respect to the '762 patent.

Dated: September 30, 2008

J. J. Robinson
United States District Judge

Nicole Fasano
(By) Deputy Clerk